

Book Review Feedback From Another Author 2-16-2014
Revealing Bible Mysteries

Your evaluation input is appreciated, and sounds very much like the opposition of others. It is expected that any view that is contrary to common teachings will be reflected negatively. Like exposing your favorite politician as a crook; you won't like the details. The format is arranged to outline a concern, and then give the details, and then follow with an analysis. These are done in small portions until all data is covered, then by chapter five, all the pieces are compiled into an undeniable exposure of the deceiver and his methods. The answers to all of your questions and concerns were covered in the pages further on, but sadly, since you never completed chapter-2, those answers appear incomplete. You did though; give me some remarkable statements that I would like to use in future discussions. Let me note here that you have a beautiful handwriting skill, and it is a pleasure to see this talent displayed.

Q-1 This will only be understood or even considered by the very intelligent and well-versed in theology.

The first five chapters cover the great deception, and that portion is complicated, but a necessity for understanding a true unblemished teaching. After the false teachings are exposed, the story is a pleasure to read, and three chapters are saturated with new knowledge that redirects common teachings. The final 14 chapters are the things that God wanted parents to teach their children, and the rules for all government policies. And that is the essence of this book; you can actually learn something valuable that cannot be found in other books.

Q-2 pg-6/7 This limits your audience. What about layman summaries every few paragraphs or sections?

My webpage is noted in the book, and has a dozen handouts and addendums for teaching various areas. The site also has classroom questions made for each chapter in an outline. As stated earlier, the summaries are at the end of a very complex analysis. This takes five chapters to cover the deception, and then the rest of the book is on other things. Those other things cannot be fully understood without first exposing the deception of the earlier chapters.

Q-3 pg-18 I can't tell if you are just talking about language, grammar, etc. or content. Have you already shown proof or examples to back up these statements or is that coming later? Claims and examples need to be close / clear.

You never finished the second chapter, so this might be an unfair statement. You also write books, and many of the conclusions are not exposed until several things occur, and many writers will even withhold vital information until the ending of a book. My story is extraordinarily complicated, and it takes a while for the story to collect all the data for the conclusions that might not be comprehensible until the whole story is read. Realize that this story has been explored for thousands of years in search for the answers which have never been found until now, and in this book you will find the answers!

Q-4 pg-19 How can a treasured practice be irrelevant? Santa Claus is a “fabricated teaching,” it is still a tradition and not irrelevant.

The traditions that are tied to religion, are exactly what Yahshua (Jesus) exposed as pollutions of the gospel. The words from God stated that he does not glorify pretentious attire or false teachings. My own daughter was taught that this man-made holiday is a fabrication. From the first grade and on, she was considered “wise” by her peers and teachers well above her grade level, and her playtime and imagination were never hindered. She loved to play, but could easily grasp facts from fiction, making her a natural leader.

Q-5 pg-28 Saul’s statements - This is just an example, but concerning this discrepancy... There’s bound to be discrepancy in all translations. Languages rarely match perfectly in word or meaning. These two statements by Saul are so insignificant. I think to be meaningful, inconsistencies have to be of some importance... Quality vs. quantity I could retell the three bears and change many words but the story remains the same.

Referring to Acts 9:7, 22:9 statements: Yes these are storyline inconsistencies of no detrimental consequence. Then Acts 9:23-25, 2-Cor 11:32 statements: These are serious discrepancies that lay blame on the wrong people by many common teachings. This is one factor of many pieces that are gathered. As stated earlier in Q-3; a complex analysis needs to first acquire a volume of discrepancies to lay a valid evaluation. The gathering is done chronologically, not by the level of importance. The summary and conclusions of chapter-5 come after all relevant data is attained. This tells a story that you do not yet understand. Imagine if your books were evaluated with less than two chapters read; they could never be correctly summarized, nor could the values be absorbed.

Q-6 pg-28 Later on same page about Saul... Why must this be considered a discrepancy? Can’t this be two separate accounts of the same event? The Jews said it one way, Saul’s letter said something else. If 10 people at a party all wrote about it, wouldn’t we get 10 different stories?

This is a first summary comparison of the Acts 9:23-25, 2-Cor 11:32 statements. Acts says the Jews were out to kill him; then it says other Jews helped him escape. But 2-Corinthians stated that it was the Damascus Governor and the Roman Garrison that wanted him apprehended. This is, as stated earlier, a solid discrepancy in which many teachers lay the blame on the Jews, when it was the Romans and the Pharisee appointed politicians that had the grievance. And note that these are very small injustices compared to what follows in the rest of the story.

Q-7 pg-29 Saul “did not”... Says who? Proof?

This is where you stopped reading. The answers are plainly written in the book, but you need to finish the story. Saul was a hard core, first class, unwavering, certifiable, and self proclaimed Pharisee. He did not have the heart (love) that the Apostles were giving toward the laws or the covenant, nor did he ever agree with the Apostles in any place of the Bible. It took 100 pages to explain this, and you quit on page 29, like a book browser would do. Now you know where to find the answers, I hope you will give it a second look!